UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,901	04/14/2004	Florian Patrick Nierhaus	2003P18698US	6111
7590 01/20/2011 Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			EXAMINER	
			GAY, SONIA L	
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 08830	Iselin, NJ 08830		2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Comment	10/823,901	NIERHAUS, FLORIAN PATRICK		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SONIA GAY	2614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practi	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 7 - 33 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/823,901 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Amendment submitted on 12/01/2010. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 1, 2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment filed on December 1, 2010 has been entered. No claims have been amended. Claims 34 and 35 have been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1 -5 and 7 - 33 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 11, and 21 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1- 5, 7, 8, 11 – 17, 19, 21, 23 – 25, 27, and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (US 7,412,040) in view Acker et al. (US 7,277,855), and further in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,618,704).

For claim 1, Koch discloses a conferencing method and system, comprising: receiving first conference-endpoint data for a first conference type from a first endpoint (column 6 lines 13

Art Unit: 2614

Page 3

-33) reading conference type identifier from a memory, the conference type identifier specifying a second conference type for a second endpoint participating in the conference with the first endpoint (column 5 lines 45 - 62; column 7 lines 48 - 61); determining whether the second conference type is different than the first conference type (column 6 lines 24 - 33); selecting a conversion program based whether the second conference type is different than the first conference type (column 6 lines 24 - 33); reading an endpoint identifier for the first endpoint (column 6 lines 13 - 33); and, initiating execution of the conversion program on the first conference- endpoint data to prepare converted first conference-endpoint data compatible with the second conference type from the first conference-endpoint data, wherein the conversion program is configured to utilize aiding data (pre-defined vocabulary, column 7 lines 15-17) to enhance the conversion of the conference-endpoint data (column 6 lines 44 – 61; column 7 lines 13 – 21; column 8 lines 8 - 35). Yet, Koch fails to teach selecting and specifying a conversion parameter for the conversion program based on the endpoint identifier for the first endpoint; transmitting the first conference-endpoint data to the second endpoint; and, transmitting the converted conference-endpoint data to the first and second endpoint.

However, Acker et al. discloses a method for the purpose of conducting real time communication session wherein a conversion parameter based on an endpoint identifier (a pTTS template associated with an author wherein a PTTS template is a data file containing information representing voice characteristics of the author or voice characteristics selected by the author, column 4 lines 19 - 33) is selected by a conversion program (conversion routine, Fig.3, 136; column 5 lines 18 – 36) to convert first endpoint data to second endpoint data, transmit the first endpoint data to the second endpoint, and transmit converted first endpoint data

Art Unit: 2614

to the second endpoint, (Abstract; column 4 lines 8-59; column 5 lines 9 - 43, 56 - 63; column 7 lines 54 - 67; column 8 lines 63 - column 9 lines 13).

Page 4

Additionally, Kanevsky et al. discloses a method for the purpose of teleconferencing with a deaf or hearing impaired wherein a speech-to-text conversion program (ASR, column 3 lines 40 - 48) which uses aiding data (stored profile or dictionary, column 4 lines 54 - 63) converts first conference-endpoint data to second conference-endpoint data and transmits the converted first conference-endpoint data to the first and second endpoint (Abstract; column 3 lines 48 - 50; column 4 lines 64 - column 5 line 63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Koch with the teachings of Acker et al. and Kanevsky et al. so that the text-to-speech conversion program disclosed above in Koch selects and specifies conversion parameters such as those contained within a personalized text to speech template for the purpose of converting the first-conference endpoint data to data that is compatible with a second conference type to increase accuracy and user satisfaction with performing text-speech/speech-text translations by allowing the characteristics and preferences of the conferees to be conveyed within the text-speech translations; transmit the first conferenceendpoint data to the second endpoint for the purpose of maintaining the integrity of the original conference data; and, transmit the converted first conference endpoint data to both the first and second endpoint for the purpose of conducting real time messaging, including reviewing the converted first conference endpoint data by the first conference endpoint.

Art Unit: 2614

For claims 11 and 21, Koch discloses a conference system and computer readable medium (network translator service, Fig.2, 200; column 11 lines 27 - column 12 lines 29), comprising: a memory (data store, column 5 lines 45 - 62) comprising: a first conferenceendpoint data for a first conference type received from a first endpoint (column 5 lines 45 - 62; column 6 lines 34 - 40; column 9 lines 6 - 14; column 9 lines 51 - 61); a conference type identifier specifying a second conference type for a second endpoint participating in a conference with the first endpoint (column 5 lines 29 - 62; column 6 lines 34 - 40); a conversion program operable to prepare converted first conference endpoint data compatible with the second conference type from the first conference endpoint data (column 6 lines 44 - 61) and a processor (VoiceXML gateway, column 6 lines 13 - 23) operable to determine whether the second conference type is different than the first conference type and to execute the conversion program when the second conference type is different than the first conference type (column 6 lines 13 -23). Yet, Koch fails to teach where the processor initiates transmission of the converted first endpoint data to the first endpoint and second endpoint and transmission of the first conferenceendpoint data to the second endpoint.

Page 5

However, Acker et al. discloses a method for the purpose of conducting real time communication session wherein a conversion parameter based on an endpoint identifier (a pTTS template associated with an author wherein a PTTS template is a data file containing information representing voice characteristics of the author or voice characteristics selected by the author, column 4 lines 19 - 33) is selected by a conversion program (conversion routine, Fig.3, 136; column 5 lines 18 – 36) to convert first endpoint data to second endpoint data, transmit the first endpoint data to the second endpoint, and transmit converted first endpoint data

Art Unit: 2614

to the second endpoint, (Abstract; column 4 lines 8- 59; column 5 lines 9 - 43, 56 - 63; column

Page 6

7 lines 54 – 67; column 8 lines 63 – column 9 lines 13).

Additionally, Kanevsky et al. discloses a method for the purpose of teleconferencing with a deaf or hearing impaired wherein a speech-to-text conversion program (ASR, column 3 lines 40 - 48) which uses aiding data (stored profile or dictionary, column 4 lines 54 - 63) converts first conference-endpoint data to second conference-endpoint data and transmits the converted first conference-endpoint data to the first and second endpoint (Abstract; column 3 lines 48 - 50; column 4 lines 64 - column 5 line 63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Koch with the teachings of Acker et al. and Kanevsky et al. so that the text-to-speech conversion program disclosed above in Koch selects and specifies conversion parameters such as those contained within a personalized text to speech template for the purpose of converting the first-conference endpoint data to data that is compatible with a second conference type to increase accuracy and user satisfaction with performing text-speech/speech-text translations by allowing the characteristics and preferences of the conferees to be conveyed within the text-speech translations; transmit the first conference-endpoint data to the second endpoint for the purpose of maintaining the integrity of the original conference data; and, transmit the converted first conference endpoint data to both the first and second endpoint for the purpose of conducting real time messaging, including reviewing the converted first conference endpoint.

For claims 2 and 12, Koch further discloses where the first conference type is a text messaging conference, and where the second conference type is a voice conference (Koch, column 5 lines 1 - 19).

For claims 3, 4, 13, and 23, Koch further discloses where the act of initiating execution of the conversion program comprises initiating execution of at least one of a text-to-speech translator and a speech-to-text translator (Koch, column 6 lines 44 – 61; column 7 lines 13 - 21).

For claim 5, Koch further discloses where the act of transmitting comprises transmitting the converted first conference-endpoint data and a first endpoint identifier to the second endpoint (Koch, column 6 lines 13 - 21, 56 - 61; column 8 lines 8 - 22).

For claim 7, Koch further discloses receiving second conference-endpoint data for the second conference type from the second endpoint; preparing converted second conference-endpoint data; and transmitting the second converted conference-endpoint data to the first endpoint (Koch, column 6 lines 62 – column 7 line 37).

For claim 8, Koch and Acker et al. further disclose where the act of initiating execution of the conversion program comprises initiating execution of a text-to-speech translator, and further comprising the act of selecting a voice for at least one of the first and second endpoints (Koch, column 6 lines 44 - 61) (Acker et al., column 4 lines 30 - 59).

For claim 14, Koch further discloses where the conversion program comprises a text-to-speech translator, and where the memory further comprises a speech-to-text translator (Koch, column 6 lines 44 - 61; column 7 lines 13 - 21).

For claims 15, 16, and 24, Koch further discloses where: the memory further comprises second conference-endpoint data for the second conference type received from the second

endpoint (Koch, (column 5 lines 45 – 62; column 6 lines 34 – 40; column 9 lines 6 – 14; column 9 lines 51 - 61); and, where the processor executes the text-to speech translator on the first conference -endpoint data to prepare the converted first conference-endpoint data, and executes the speech-to-text translator on the second conference-endpoint data to prepare converted second conference-endpoint data (Koch, column 6 lines 62 – column 7 line 37); and where the processor initiates transmission of the second converted conference-endpoint data to the first endpoint (Koch, column 6 lines 62 – column 7 line 37).

For claims 17 and 25, Koch further discloses where the act of transmitting comprises transmitting the converted first conference-endpoint data and a first endpoint identifier to the second endpoint (Koch, column 6 lines 13 - 21, 56 - 61; column 8 lines 8 - 22).

For claims 19 and 27, Koch and Acker et al. further disclose where the conversion program is a text-to-speech translator (Koch, column 6 lines 44 - 61), and where the memory comprises voice data for at least one of the first and second endpoints (Acker et al., column 4 lines 30 -59; column 5 lines 18 - 27)

For claim 29, Koch and Kanevsky et al. further disclose reading an endpoint identifier and establishing aiding data for speech-to-text translation associated with the endpoint identifier (Koch, column 5 lines 29 - 62) (Kanevsky et al., column 4 lines 54 - 60).

For claims 30 and 32, Koch and Kanevsky et al. further discloses wherein the aiding data includes a dictionary representative of common vocabulary (Koch, column 7 lines 15-17) (Kanevsky et al., column 4 lines 59-63).

For claims 31 and 33, Koch further discloses wherein the aiding data includes an identifier associated with a participant at the first endpoint (Koch, column 5 lines 29 – 62; column 6 lines 13 - 23).

Page 9

2. Claims 9, 10, 18, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (US 7,412,040) in view Acker et al. (US 7,277,855), and further in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,618,704), and further in view of Moore et al. (US 2004/0086100).

For claims 9, 18, 26, and 28, the combination of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. fails to teach where at least one of first conference type and second conference type is at least one of a decentralized text messaging conference and a centralized text messaging conference.

However, Moore et al. discloses a method for the purpose of completing calls by way of an instant communications client where at least one of first conference type and second conference type is at least one of a decentralized text messaging conference and a centralized text messaging conference (Moore et al., [0018] [0021] [0053 – 0055] [0063] [0099] [0100]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the combined teachings of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. with the teachings of Moore et al. so that the text messaging conference disclosed above in Koch is either decentralized or centralized for the purpose of completing calls by way of an instant communications client.

For claim 10, the combination of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. disclose where reading an endpoint identifier comprises: reading a name indicia that identifies the source of the first conference-endpoint data (Koch, column 6 lines 44 - 61), yet fails to teach where: the conversion parameter comprises a voice model conversion parameter that distinguishes between male and female voice production

Page 10

However, Moore et al. discloses a method for the purpose of completing voice calls by way of an instant communications client wherein the conversion parameter comprises a voice model conversion parameter that distinguishes between male and female voice production ([0111]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the combined teachings of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. with the teachings of Moore et al. so that the conversion parameter disclosed above in Acker et al. further comprises a voice model conversion parameter that distinguishes between male and female voice production for the purpose of performing the text-to-speech conversion disclosed above in Koch to improve the accuracy of performing speech conversion wherein voice models can be either stored voice templates with conversion parameters generated by an conference endpoint or voice models with conversion parameters which are selected, but not generated, by the conference endpoint.

3. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (US 7,412,040) in view Acker et al. (US 7,277,855), and further in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,618,704), and further in view of Smyth et al. (US 7,007,098).

For claim 20, the combination of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. fails to teach where the processor is further operable to filter, according to a filter criteria, the first conference-

Art Unit: 2614

endpoint data, the second conference - endpoint data, or both to eliminate endpoint data that would otherwise be communicated to the first endpoint, the second endpoint, or both; and, where the filter criteria comprises an n-loudest filter criteria for the purpose of processing only endpoint data only from n-loudest endpoints connected to a conference, including the first and second endpoints.

However, Smyth et al. discloses a teleconference server with a processor (column 5 lines 43-45) where the processor is further operable to filter, according to a filter criteria, the first conference-endpoint data, the second conference - endpoint data, or both to eliminate endpoint data that would otherwise be communicated to the first endpoint, the second endpoint, or both (column 2 lines 35-46; column 3 line 51- column 4 line 2) for the purpose of reducing the use of processor resources (Abstract); and, where the filter criteria comprises an n-loudest filter criteria for the purpose of processing only endpoint data only from n-loudest endpoints connected to a conference, including the first and second endpoints. (Smyth et al., column 2 lines 35-46; column 3 line 51- column 4 line 2)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the combined teachings of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. with the teachings of Smyth et al. wherein the processor of the teleconference server disclosed above in Koch is further operable to filter, according to a filter criteria, the first conference-endpoint data, the second conference - endpoint data, or both to eliminate endpoint data that would otherwise be communicated to the first endpoint, the second endpoint, or both for the purpose of conserving the use of processor resources wherein more than two people are participating in the call. Additionally, the filter criteria comprise an n-loudest filter criteria for

the purpose of processing only endpoint data only from n-loudest endpoints connected to a conference, including the first and second endpoints.

4. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koch (US 7,412,040) in view Acker et al. (US 7,277,855), and further in view of Kanevsky et al. (US 6,618,704), and further in view of Geofroy et al. (US 7,124,163).

For claim 22, the combination of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. fails to teach decoding the first conference-endpoint data with a first Coder/Decoder (CODEC) to obtain decoded first conference-endpoint data; and, negotiating with the second endpoint to determine the specific CODEC for the second endpoint, where initiating preparation includes recoding the decoded first conference-endpoint data by applying a specific CODEC, different than the first CODEC, on the decoded first conference-endpoint data.

However, Geofroy et al. discloses data/media servers with computer readable mediums encoded with instructions for the purpose of performing a variety of basic and enhanced services in telephony networks or typical data exchange services of the sort which occur over the Internet including transcoding between different codec types by negotiating with the second endpoint to determine the specific CODEC for the specific endpoint, converting text to speech or speech to text (column 2 lines 5-20)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the combined teachings of Koch, Acker et al., and Kanevsky et al. with the teachings of Geofroy et al. to decode the first conference-endpoint data with a first Coder/Decoder (CODEC) to obtain decoded first conference-endpoint data and recode the

Application/Control Number: 10/823,901 Page 13

Art Unit: 2614

decoded first conference-endpoint data by applying a specific CODEC, different than the first CODEC, on the decoded first conference-endpoint data for the purpose of providing a conferencing services between communication devices which use the same media type with different encoding methods.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11, 21 with respective dependents have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SONIA GAY whose telephone number is (571)270-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,901 Page 14

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sonia Gay/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614 January 5, 2010

> /Rasha S AL-Aubaidi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614